

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/575,816	11/09/2006	Barry D. Shur	050508-1390	3894
24504 7590 12/06/2007		EXAMINER		
THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, LLP 600 GALLERIA PARKWAY, S.E.			CARLSON, KAREN C	
STE 1500 ATLANTA, GA 30339-5994			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1656	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/06/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

· ·				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/575,816	SHUR ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Karen Cochrane Carlson, Ph.D.	1656		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. hely filed the mailing date of this communication.		
Status	•	·		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·			
•	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	•			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	63 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-9 and 43-52 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9, 43-52 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the find the discourage of the drawing (s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing (s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte		

Application/Control Number:	Page 2
10/575,816	
Art Unit: 1656	

Claims 10-42 have been cancelled. Claims 1-9 and 43-52 are currently pending and are under examination.

Benefit of priority is to October 17, 2003.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-9 and 43-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In Claims 1 and 9, it is not clear what the activity of the polypeptide is because the term "modulate" can mean to increase or to decrease gamete adhesion.

In Claims 4 and 5, for example, it is not understood how a structure can have two different functions, one to inhibit sperm binding to unfertilized zona pellucida and the other to promote this binding.

In Claim 9, "Nos:" should be - NOs: --.

In Claims 7, 9, 49, and 50, the polypeptide comprises SEQ ID NO: 2-7. SEQ ID NO: 2 comprises each of SEQ ID NOs: 3-7. Thus, it is not clear if the polypeptide must comprise SEQ ID NO: 2 and therefore SEQ ID NO: 3-7 by default, or if the polypeptide must alternatively comprises SEQ ID NO: 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, **OR** 7. Please clarify.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-3, 6-9 and 43, and 47-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

In these claims, there is no correlation of structure with function. See pages 49-52 of the specification in which the activity of SED1 is postulated. Therefore the claims lack written description.

Factors to be considered for written description are:

- 1. level of skill and knowledge in the art is high;
- 2. partial structure is provided via domains and fragments of sequences but the function to "modulate" gamete adhesion is indefinite.
- 3. physical and or chemical properties are disclosed but the function to modulate gamete adhesion is indefinite.
- 4. functional characteristics alone or coupled with a known or disclosed correlation between structure and function is not provided because the claims read on domains and fragments of sequences;
- 5. method of making the claimed invention is not provided because one skilled in the art does not know what fragment of a sequence will increase or decrease gamete adhesionl.

Disclosure of any combination of such identifying characteristics that distinguish the claimed invention from other materials and would lead one of skill in the art to be conclusion that the applicant was in possession of the claimed species of the invention is sufficient (MPEP 2163).

Application/Control Number:	Page 4
10/575,816	
Art Unit: 1656	

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-9 and 43-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by EP 1 004 664 A1; published May 31, 2000.

'644 teaches composition of mouse lactadherin SEQ ID NO: 2, in a liposome, for example – see the Claims. This 426 amino acid sequence differs from instant SEQ ID NO: 2 at G110E, S131Y, T159H, S272L, and A357E. Lactadherin is also knows as Milk Fat Globule – EGF-Factor VIII (MFG-E8) – see page 9, line 44 of '644. MGF-E8 is also known in the art as SED1. Therefore, lactadherin is SED1 and comprises two discoidin/C domains and two EGF domains as set forth in the specification.

Therefore, '644 teaches a pharmaceutical composition comprising a polypeptide comprising at least one discoidin/C domain (Claim 1) and at least one EGF domain (Claim 2) in a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier such as a liposome (Claim 3, 43), wherein the polypeptide comprises SED1 or a fragment thereof (Claim 6, 47, 48), wherein the polypeptide comprises fragments of SEQ ID NOs: 2-7 (Claim 7, 9, 49, 50).

While '644 does not teach the function of the lactadherin as being in the modulation of gamete adhesion, the discovery of a new function for a known protein does not change the structure of the protein. Thus, **Claims 4**, 5, 8, 44, 45, 46, 51; and 52 are included in this rejection because the protein structure is known and therefore the function is inherent to the structure.

Application/Control Number:	Page 5
10/575,816	
Art Unit: 1656	

Art of Record:

Ceriani et al. (USP 5,972,337, issued October 26, 1999) teach a 46 kD milk fat globular protein having 96.6 % identity to SEQ ID NO: 2. See the sequence alignment attached to the front page of '337, being sent with this Office Action.

Decayre et al. (WO 03/016522, published February 27, 2003) teach composition of mouse lactadherin. This 434 amino acid sequence differs from instant SEQ ID NO: 2 at \$131Y, T159H, \$263L, and A357E. See the sequence alignment attached to the reference.

Kanai et al. (2000; Identification of a stromal cell type characterized by the secretion of a soluble integrin-binding protein, MFG-E8, in mouse early gonadogenesis. Mechanisms of Development 96: 223-227) teach MFG-E8 having two EGF domains and two discoidin domains.

Stubbs et al. (1990; cDNA cloning of a mouse mammary epithelial cell surface protein reveals the existence of epidermal growth factor-like domains linked to factor VIII-lie sequences. PNAS 87:8417-8421) teach a 51.5 kD protein MFGM having 97.4% identity to SEQ ID NO: 2. See the sequence alignment attached to the reference.

Ogura et al. (1996; Cloning and expression of cDNA for O-acetylation of GD3 ganglioside. Biochem. Biophys Res. Commun. 225: 932-938) teach O-acetyl GD3 having 6 mismatches across SEQ ID NO: 2. See the sequence alignment attached to the reference.

Oshima et al. (Feb., 2002; Secretion of a peripheral membrane protein, MFG-E8, as a complex with membrane vesicles: a possible role in membrane secretion. Eur. J. Biochem. 269: 1209-1218) teach MFG-E8 having two EGF domains and two discoidin domains.

Application/Control Number: 10/575,816	Page 6
Art Unit: 1656	

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karen Cochrane Carlson, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-0946. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 AM - 4:00 PM, off alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Kathleen Kerr Bragdon can be reached on 571-272-0931. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

KAREN COCHRANE CARLSON, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER